

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/624,703 07/22/2003 | | Ronald Legge | 4359-A1 | 4359-A1 7048 | | |
| 29370 | 7590 | 04/26/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT A | | ONS .VENUE, SUITE 122 | ALEXAND | ALEXANDER, LYLE | | |
| PHOENIX, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| · | | | | 1743 | 1743 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 9 | ٣ |
|---|---|
| • | , |

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Office Action Summan | 10/624,703 | LEGGE ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Lyle A. Alexander | 1743 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failum Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing different pattern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | • | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application | l . | | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ T | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) <u></u> ⊤ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | epted or b) objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| i | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc | tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)□ T | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| • | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | or the continue copies not receive. | u. | | | | |
| Attachment(| 's) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) U Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| | ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/22/03</u> . | 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/624,703

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Craig (USP 6,153,431).

Craig teaches a method and apparatus for beverage analysis using a test device/strip that contacts the beverage. The taught test strip is held by the users fingers and has been read on the claimed "positioned on at least one finger of the user".

Column 15 lines 11+ teach application of a polymer over the test strip which, in the absence of better defining what is intended by "nail polish", has been read on the claimed nail polish. Figure 25 and column 18 lines 55+ teach several different test strip devices that have capability of being held simultaneously between multiple fingers and has been read on the claimed placement of a "... plurality of different fingers ...". In the absence of better defining what structure is intended by the claimed "decal", the Office has read the claimed decal on the taught test strip which is positioned on the finger when in use and indistinguishable from the instant claims.

If Applicants' were to specify in the independent claims that the chemically reactive substance is – coated on at least one finger nail --, it appears the art of record would be overcome.

Art Unit: 1743

4

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bohn et al. teach a nail polish that contains a reagent to indicate psoriasis.

Bibi et al. teach a nail polish that contains a UV indicator.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743
